



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/963,246	09/26/2001	F. William Daugherty	12000097-0006-002	8590
26263	7590	08/22/2011		
SNR DENTON US LLP P.O. BOX 061080 CHICAGO, IL 60606-1080			EXAMINER MUNSON, PATRICIA H	
			ART UNIT 3622	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 08/22/2011	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/963,246	Applicant(s) DAUGHERTY ET AL.	
	Examiner PATRICIA MUNSON	Art Unit 3622	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) PATRICIA MUNSON. (3) Amy Ember.

(2) Nathan Uber. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 18 August 2011.

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal [copy given to: ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Issues Discussed ☐101 ☐112 ☐102 ☐103 ☒Others
(For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)

Claim(s) discussed: n/a.

Identification of prior art discussed: n/a.

Substance of Interview
(For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc...)

Applicants Arguments filed on 7/8/2011 did not address the Examiners statement in ¶9 of the non-final rejection mailed on 4/8/2011 that the Applicant must file a petition under 37 CFR 1.183 with the Office of Petitions in order to receive a waiver of the signature of an unavailable inventor. Examiner initiated an interview in order to ensure that Applicant understood that a petition must be filed with the Office of Petitions in order to move prosecution forward on this particular matter since no petition has been filed to date.

Applicant recodation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.

Examiner recodation instructions: Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recodation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recodation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.

☐ Attachment

/NATHAN C UBER/ Examiner, Art Unit 3622	/P. M./ Examiner, Art Unit 3622
--	------------------------------------